UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

Docket #03mdl1570

TERRORIST ATTACKS On

SEPTEMBER 11th, 2001

: December 2, 2010

New York, New York

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE MAGISTRATE JUDGE FRANK MAAS,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CHIEF MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Federal Plaintiffs COZEN O'CONNOR

and Plaintiffs Committee: BY: SEAN CARTER, ESQ.

1900 Market Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

(215) 665-2000

For Ashton Plaintiffs KREINDLER KREINDLER & KREINDLER

and Plaintiffs Committee: BY: JAMES KREINDLER, ESQ.

750 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10017-2703

(212) 687-8181

For Burnett Plaintiffs MOTLEY RICE

and Plaintiffs Committee: BY: ROBERT HAEFELE, ESQ.

28 Bridgeside Boulevard

Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29465

(843) 216-9000

For O'Neill Plaintiffs ANDERSON KILL & OLICK

and Plaintiff Committee: BY: JERRY S. GOLDMAN, ESQ.

1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020

(212) 278-1733

Transcription Service: Carole Ludwig, Transcription Services

141 East Third Street #3E New York, New York 10009 Phone: (212) 420-0771 Fax: (212) 420-6007

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;

Transcript produced by transcription service

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

For Dr. Jamal Barzinjii: LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN K. BARENTZEN

BY: STEVEN BARENTZEN, ESO. 1575 Eye Street, Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 289-4333

For Dubai Islamic Bank: CLIFFORD CHANCE

> STEVEN COTTREAU, ESQ. BY: ANGELA STONER, ESQ.

2001 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 912-5000

For World Assembly of

Muslim Youth:

LAW FIRM OF OMAR T. MOHAMMEDI, LLC

BY: OMAR MOHAMMEDI, ESQ. 233 Broadway, Suite 801 New York, New York 10279

(212) 752-3846

For Al Haramain Islamic

Foundation (USA) and Defendants Committee: BERNABEI & WACHTEL, P.L.L.C.

BY: ALAN KABAT 1775 T Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20009-7124

(202) 745-1942

For Muslim world International Islamic Relief Organization

Wael Jelaidan

For Muslim World League, MARTIN F. MCMAHON & ASSOCIATES BY: CHERYL TORRALBA, ESQ.

1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., 900 Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 862-4343

THE COURT: By December 29, and that any 1 2 opposition papers be filed by January 10. That'll give me hopefully some prayer of reading through all of this before 3 you folks are before me on the 14th. 4 5 And we've got the Al-Haramain Foundation issue, 6 where, frankly, I don't have my decision in front of me. 7 Hang on, maybe I do have it here. Oh, right, it was - bear with me a second. 8 9 (pause in proceeding) Well, Your Honor, you pointed out in 10 MR. KABAT: 11 the letter we could file objections if we think it's 12 (inaudible) the Al-Haramain Foundation doesn't set a date to 13 comply with the discovery responses until objections have 14 been resolved by (inaudible). 15 THE COURT: Well, the objections don't stay an order that I've given, but, quite frankly, I'm not sure 16 17 there's much point to my setting a date because the position 18 of the Saudi entity is that there are no documents it can 19 get because it's been locked out of its office. The U.S. 20 entity is no better able presumably to get documents that may exist in Saudi Arabia --21 22 MR. HAEFELE: Your Honor --23 THE COURT: -- and that's --24 MR. HAEFELE: Your Honor --25 Hang on a second. THE COURT:

17 circumstance doesn't seem like one that's going to change 1 2 over the next period of time. So if Judge Daniels at some point rules on the objections, I can then direct that the 3 documents be produced in ten days, and that will then tee up 4 5 the issue. 6 MR. HAEFELE: Your Honor, this is Robert Haefele 7 from Motley Rice. One factual clarification I just want to make is if you look back at the papers that were filed, 8 9 there was not, to my understanding when I looked back, a filing from the Al-Haramain headquarters on that issue. 10 11 What you got was documentation from individuals on behalf of 12 the, who are representatives of the U.S. headquarters or 13 U.S. branch that said what you just said. It wasn't Al-14 Haramain Saudi's headquarters' office that said that. 15 THE COURT: No, I think that's right, but --16 (interposing) 17 THE COURT: Hang on a second, but am I mistaken 18 in my understanding that Mr. Kabat was purportedly to 19 represent both? 20 I'll let him speak to that, but MR. HAEFELE: 21 it's my understanding that Al-Haramain, the headquarters, 22 had entered an appearance by a different lawyer and - well, 23 I'll let Mr. Kabat say whether he represents both at this

point though.

24

25

MR. KABAT: Your Honor, Alan Kabat here.

18 1 not represent Saudi Al-Haramain group. THE COURT: 2 Okay. Well, so then I guess the issue is --3 Your Honor, can I interject one 4 MR. HAEFELE: 5 more thing? 6 THE COURT: Sure, absolutely. MR. HAEFELE: What we've been trying to do really 7 is get the discovery rolling and get it rolling on a level 8 9 that would be consistent with what Your Honor's previous 10 decisions were and what the scheduling was for all of the 11 defendants. And given that, as Your Honor indicated 12 correctly, the objections don't necessarily stay in any 13 order, it would seem consistent with Your Honor's previous 14 orders that they should at least be obligated to produce, 15 all of the defendants will be obligated to produce by the 16 deadline in early January that Your Honor set. 17 THE COURT: I think that's correct, but as a 18 practical matter, I'm unlikely to do anything with respect 19 to Al-Haramain further until Judge Daniels rules, and I 20 don't think that's going to prejudice you because it doesn't 21 appear that any - Mr. Kabat can correct me if I'm wrong - if 22 the objections are overruled, that's not going to lead to 23 more documents being produced. Am I misapprehending that? 24 MR. HAEFELE: The answer I think is no one knows 25 because there has been no word from the lawyer representing

1 the Saudi headquarters as to whether or not - I mean one of

2 the concerns we have, Your Honor, is we don't even have

3 initial disclosures from the lawyers representing the Saudi

4 headquarters.

THE COURT: Right, and I guess I'm not astonished by that. I guess I was operating with the assumption, and we had alluded to it last time, that certain of the defendants who are in the case now may, in fact, default, both in discovery obligations and every other obligation.

(interposing)

MR. HAEFELE: I guess my perspective, Your Honor, would be I would rather in a sense, I don't mean this in a derogatory way, but I would rather force their hand to do that so that we know sooner rather than later that that's what they're planning on doing. And if Your Honor orders them to have a deadline, and if they don't meet that deadline, then we have a better indication of who's actually active in the case.

THE COURT: Well, I directed that rolling productions begin by what date? I know I said they're to be completed by April 29. Refresh my recollection as to when I said they should begin. Responses were to be January 7. I guess it was from that date forward that there be a rolling production.

MR. HAEFELE: That was my understanding, Your

```
Honor, and, quite frankly, our position would be that to the
 1
 2
    extent that there is any documents that were covered by Your
    Honor's order that do exist and were objected to but now
 3
    Your Honor has overruled, those should be produced
 4
    immediately at the beginning, at the inception of that
 5
 6
    deadline rather than throughout the rolling production,
 7
    especially given that these are requests that have been
    outstanding for years.
 8
 9
             THE COURT:
                          As to -
10
             MR. KABAT:
                          Your Honor, may I briefly step in?
    I just want to reiterate that our client, the (inaudible)
11
12
    Group, simply has no ability to get the documents from the
13
    Saudi group. We've made that clear, and we know the
14
    plaintiff waited five years to file the motion to compel.
15
    So any delay (inaudible) problem of their own making.
16
             THE COURT: What I'm willing to do, because maybe
17
    it'll give us a paradigm for discussion, is I will say that
    the Al-Haramain Saudi entity is to produce its documents by
18
19
    January 7. It hasn't filed -
              (interposing)
20
21
             MR. KABAT: -- documents we have --
             THE COURT:
22
                           Sorry? I didn't hear what you said,
23
    Mr. Kabat.
24
                           (no response)
             MR. KABAT:
25
                           Hello? Did we just lose everybody?
             THE COURT:
```

21 (interposing) 1 MR. HAEFELE: He may not have heard you, Your 2 3 Honor. Oh, Mr. Kabat, you said something 4 THE COURT: 5 that I didn't hear. 6 MR. KABAT: I said we will certainly produce what 7 documents we have on January 7, but we do not currently have any access to the Saudi documents. And I would be surprised 8 9 if we get access by January 7, but if we do, we'll produce 10 those as well. 11 Your Honor, can we ask for one MR. HAEFELE: 12 clarification in writing? When Mr. Kabat says that he has 13 or his client has no documents, I want to make sure that 14 we're not doing the same things we've done with Miss Lukee's 15 client where the documents happen to be in the lawyer's 16 possession and not in the client's possession. We'd like that in writing if that's the case. 17 18 Well, as to any defendants or anybody THE COURT: 19 subpoenaed, the documents that are to be produced are those 20 within the defendant or subpoeanant's possession, custody, 21 or control, and documents in the hands of lawyers and 2.2 accountants and other agents are in the custody or control 23 of a party that was subpoenaed or requested to produce the 24 documents. So that I think is clear with respect to all 25 entities.

In light of what Mr. Kabat said, I will direct 1 2 that both of the Al-Haramain entities produce their 3 documents by January 7. Yes, Your Honor, Alan Kabat here. 4 MR. KABAT: 5 (inaudible) documents that we have and our client has will 6 be produced if they haven't already been produced. 7 Okay, terrific. And I didn't THE COURT: explicitly say, but I would like to receive in advance of 8 9 the January 14 conference a letter, say, by - I'm mindful that the holidays are between now and then, again, a letter, 10 11 hopefully a joint letter, by January 10 - I think we should 12 be getting back to joint letters - identifying the issues 13 that people believe ought to be discussed on January 14 and 14 the two sides' positions with respect to that. And I'll 15 leave it to you folks to figure out how to manage to make it 16 a joint letter. Is that acceptable? 17 MR. HAEFELE: Yes, Your Honor. 18 MR. KABAT: Yes, Your Honor. 19 Anything else anybody wishes to bring THE COURT: 20 up today? 21 This is Jerry Goldman. There's a MR. GOLDMAN: 22 bit of ambiguity, and we'd like some clarification, in terms of Your Honor's desires with the five-day rule with regard 23 24 to advance notice. Specifically when does the responding 25 party have to make its submission to the Court?

C E R T I F I C A T EI, Carole Ludwig, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, In Re: Terrorist Attacks on September 1, 2001, docket #03md11570, was prepared using digital electronic transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Signature_____ CAROLE LUDWIG Date: December 7, 2010